

MINUTES:

NAVY DATA ENVIRONMENT-NAVY MODERNIZATION (NDE-NM) SHIP MAINTENANCE
(SHIPMAIN) PROGRAM REVIEW #5
18 Nov 04

SCRIBE:

Mr. Pat Haney

Decisions Made

- 1) No new Program decisions.

Meeting Summary

- 1) Copies of presentations given by Mr. Turner (NSLC-LANT) and Mr. Mick (ASC) were provided before the meeting and are considered to be included as part of these minutes. The briefs may also be found at <http://www.fmp.navy.mil>.
- 2) Meeting was convened at 1330.
- 3) The status of Action Items from the 13 Oct NDE Program Review were discussed. The following Action Items were identified as closed:
 - a. Develop plan for notification of members when posting significant documents/changes on the website.
 - b. Ensure that any changes to "scheduled" or "revised" dates are captured and identified at each Program Review.
 - c. Provide Port Hueneme POC to Ms. Evans for the installation of the Infosec equipment at NSLC PAC.
 - d. Conduct an integrated review/scrub of the schedule and provide the proposed integrated schedule to Mr. Pat Haney.
 - e. Conduct another review of major timeline milestones and progress to date and brief RADM Paul Sullivan / Ms. Iona Evans.
 - f. Create new chart for RADM Paul Sullivan to show a breakdown of use cases to show how the use cases will get done by Jun 05. Status should include percentage of the total number of activities and activities completed for each use case. Status should also identify activities/logic to further breakdown these items to get a good level of detail required, as well as how it is integrated with software coding. (Although this item is complete, still need RADM Sullivan's concurrence that it meets his expectations.)
- 4) Mr. Turner presented a brief on the NDE-NM Entitled Process time-line, the Navy's Modernization State and reviewed the key elements and timeline for Ship Change Document (SCD) releases. Release 1.5 and 1.6 requirements and milestones were reviewed. It was noted there were a few additional functional requirement "fixes" added. The possible release of 1.7 was discussed. It was agreed, NSLC will provide a level-of-effort to accomplish and impact analysis on the June completion date. If any additional funding is required or there is a schedule impact, the decision will be made by the NDE Program Review Team. The update on the Secure ND-NM Environment was reviewed with some concerns on the dates and how it would affect the schedule. The methodology of managing UNNPI data within NDE was discussed and further investigation of alternatives is required.
- 5) Mr. Mick gave a brief on the Software Development Plan Status; highlighting the timeline, progress through the phases, and the Recovery Plan for the remaining phases (Elaboration, Construction, and Transition). Mr. Mick felt the new architecture learning curve is being overcome in initial Alpha 1 software development, would reduce the amount of code to be developed, and would eventually aid in getting the

overall development back on track. The projected Alpha 1 Software Release is 12/30/04. He is still confident we can meet the June 05 delivery date. Mr. Mick explained the Venn Diagrams and the differences between the Baseline and Future Software. Mr. Mick then explained the Use Cases and the definitions of what the "% Complete" means.

- 6) Mr. Mick presented the metrics for software development, and the risks that are currently being managed. It was pointed out that we had a new top risk identified of "Managing Expectations" and "Requirements Creep". This prompted the action 5-3 "Send out an email to the CFT-4 Team with a time line of data requirements and due dates TBD by Mr. Bill Mick and make it clear for CFT-4 Team to understand the data requirements needed. This task will allow enough time to develop the Use Cases, write the code and populate the data in the proper fields to be ready for the June 05 release."
- 7) Mr. Mick gave the web address for updates of the Business Rules and Use Cases updates:
<http://208.46.119.205/> when it goes secure, it will be: <https://208.46.119.205/>
This site will also have Project Status Information and Products and other information.
- 8) The meeting closed with Board Members providing comments, feedback and issues on the progression of automating the Entitled Process within NDE.
- 9) Active participation by all Board members produced several action items (W3s below).
- 10) Meeting adjourned at 1545.

Notes:

- 1) The next meeting will be held on 14 Dec at 1pm at the WNY bldg 197, room 4W1001.

W3's from 18 Nov 2004:

#	What:	Who:	When:	Status Report
5-1	Identify how updates are going to be made with both classified and unclassified data and discuss Security. (Discuss with Dan Jensen, Jeff Klein and appropriate personnel)	Mr. Billy Douglas	12/14/04	
5-2	Modify / fix slide #17 "Assign Responsibility" Description	Mr. Bill Mick	12/14/04	
5-3	a) Draft an email that will be sent to the CFT-4 Team that includes a time line with due dates for delivery of data and business rule requirements to ASC. The intent is for the Modernization community to understand the data requirements needed and the limited time left to influence the baseline design. b) Forward email to CFT-4 Team members	Mr. Bill Mick CAPT Pedersen/ Pat Haney	12/14/04 12/14/04	
5-4	Remove from slides 19 & 20 under "%Complete Key" under "80%" the statement "ready for stakeholder review".	Mr. Bill Mick	12/14/04	

W3's from 13 Oct 2004:

#	What:	Who:	When:	Status Report
4-4	Identify all info/requirements related to SIPRNET NTIRA and provide weekly update on establishing a secure NDE-NM environment to Ms. Evans	Mr. Mick	11/10/04	
4-5	Update CFT-4 Transition Plan	Mr. Turner	11/10/04	
4-8	Brief PEO Subs on status of Shipmain Entitled Process and automation in NDE. (Action assigned at CFT 4)	CFT-4	11/10/04	

W3's still active from 14 July 2004:

#	What:	Who:	When:	Status Report
1-1.	Resolve discrepancies identified in the Functional Allocation brief to cement PEO C4I commitment to fund the balance. Working group to be identified and led by Mr. Douglas to determine: 1) specific data elements to be captured; 2) what is the authoritative source for these data elements; 3) where will these data elements be stored.	Mr. Douglas	TBD	